Supplier Name: Supplier Location:				Plant Manager:	Audit Date:					
				Quality Manager:		Auditor Name:				
Innlier	Audit Resul	t Summary								
%	Awarded	Available	OFI	Summary	Status					
87%	39	45	6	Operational Control	GREEN					
80%	12	10	3	Process Approach	YELLOW		11.1%			
91%	30	33	3	Performance	GREEN					
80%	12	15	3	Internal Auditing	YELLOW					
87%	13	15	2	Receiving Inspection	GREEN					
87%	13	15	2	Improvement	GREEN					
87%	13	15	2	Quality Planning	GREEN					
93% 80%	14 12	15 15	1	Design	GREEN					
80% 76%	12	21	5	Training and Competence	YELLOW		8	8.9%		
97%	32	33	1	Control of Nonconforming Produc Part Approval	GREEN					
90%	27	30	3	Management Responsibility	GREEN					
30% 38.9%	233	262	34	Overall	GREEN					
50.970	235	202	34	Complies with Requirements	88.9%	с II II В				
				Improvement Needed		Complies with Re	equirements	Impro	ovement Nee	ded
l	Managemen	t Responsibil	-		11.1%				⊢ <mark>3</mark>	
	-	Part Appro	val	improvement veeded	2	7 32	H		⊢ <u>⊣</u> 3	-1
	of Nonconf	Part Approvorming Produ	val L				F			1
	of Nonconf	Part Approv orming Produ nd Competer	val uct nce		2		H		5	1
	of Nonconf Training ar	Part Appro orming Produ nd Competer Desi	val uct gn		2	32	F		5	-1
	of Nonconf Training ar	Part Appro orming Produ nd Competer Desi Quality Planni	val uct gn ng		2 16 12	32	F		5 3	-1
	of Nonconfe Training ar C	Part Appro orming Produ nd Competer Desi Quality Planni Improveme	val and		2 16 12 13	32	ŀ		5 3 – 2	1
	of Nonconfe Training ar C Recei	Part Appro orming Produ nd Competer Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti	val and		2 16 12 13 13 13 13	32	ŀ		5 3 – 2	1
	of Nonconfe Training ar C Recei	Part Approv orming Produ- nd Competer Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti Iternal Auditi	val uct gn gn ent on ng		2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12	32	F		5 3 	
	of Nonconfo Training ar C Recei Ir	Part Approvorming Produ nd Competen Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti Internal Auditi Performan	val suct suct suct suct suct suct succes such as a subscript succes such as a subscript succes such as a succes suc		2 16 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 3 3	32	H		5 3 	1
	of Nonconfr Training ar C Recei Ir Pro	Part Approvorming Produ orming Produ Desi Duality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti Iternal Auditi Performar occess Approa	ance and		2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12 3 12	32	H		5 3 	1
	of Nonconfr Training ar C Recei Ir Pro	Part Approvorming Produ nd Competen Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti Internal Auditi Performan	auct and		2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12 3 12 39	32	H		5 3 	1
	of Nonconfr Training ar C Recei Ir Pro	Part Approvorming Produ orming Produ Desi Duality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti Iternal Auditi Performar occess Approa	ance and	10% 20% 30%	2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12 3 12	32	70%		5 3 	1
	of Nonconfr Training ar C Recei Ir Pro	Part Approvorming Produ orming Produ Desi Duality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti Iternal Auditi Performar occess Approa	auct and	10% 20% 30%	2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12 39 40%	32	70%		5 3 	
	of Nonconfr Training ar C Recei Ir Pro	Part Approvorming Produ orming Produ Desi Duality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti Iternal Auditi Performar occess Approa	auct and	10% 20% 30%	2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12 3 12 39	32	70%		5 3 	1
Control	of Nonconfi Training ar C Recei Ir Pro Oper	Part Appro- orming Produ- nd Competer Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti ternal Auditi Performar occess Approa rational Cont	val succession of the second s	10% 20% 30%	2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12 39 40% Awarded OFI	32 14 50% 60%			5 3 	3
Control	of Nonconfi Training ar C Recei Ir Pro Oper	Part Appro- orming Produ- nd Competer Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti ternal Auditi Performar occess Approa rational Cont	val auct au and	10% 20% 30%	2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12 39 40% Awarded OFI ements and the p	32 14 50% 60% vercentage and that needs	s improvemer	nt. Each client	5 3 	3
Control	of Nonconfi Training ar C Recei Ir Pro Oper	Part Appro- orming Produ- nd Competer Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti ternal Auditi Performar occess Approa rational Cont	val auct au and	10% 20% 30%	2 16 12 13 13 13 13 12 39 40% Awarded OFI ements and the p	32 14 50% 60% vercentage and that needs	s improvemer	nt. Each client	5 3 	3
Control	of Nonconfr Training ar C Recei Ir Pro Oper	Part Appro- orming Produ- nd Competer Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti Iternal Auditi Performar occess Approa rational Cont on the perce d for each qu ndations	val acce gn ng ent on ng acce ncce ncce ow ow ow ow ow ow ow ow ow ow	10% 20% 30% questions that conform to the require d base their sourcing decisions upon	16 12 13 13 13 12 39 40% Awarded OFI ements and the p factors which ar	32 14 50% 60% berecentage and that needs e important to them and	s improvemer their product	nt. Each client	5 3 	3
e score	of Nonconfu Training ar C Recei Ir Pro Oper is based up vas evaluate Processes	Part Approv orming Produ- nd Competer Desi Quality Planni Improveme ving Inspecti ternal Auditi Performan rational Cont	val act gn ng ent on gent on gent acc ncc on on on on on on on on on on on on on	10% 20% 30%	2 16 12 13 13 13 12 39 40% Awarded OFI ements and the p factors which ar	32 14 50% 60% bercentage and that need: e important to them and supplier with minimal risk	s improvemer their product	nt. Each client	5 3 4 2 3 4 3 3 4 4 6 90%	+ 1 1